



Adaptive RT for H&N Cancer: The Usefulness of Deformable Image Registration

Behrens, C.F.; Eiland, R.B.; Sjöström, D.; Maare, C.; Paulsen, Rasmus Reinhold; Samsøe, E.

Publication date:
2012

[Link back to DTU Orbit](#)

Citation (APA):

Behrens, C. F., Eiland, R. B., Sjöström, D., Maare, C., Paulsen, R. R., & Samsøe, E. (2012). *Adaptive RT for H&N Cancer: The Usefulness of Deformable Image Registration*. Poster session presented at American Society for Radiation Oncology 54th Annual Meeting, ASTRO's 54th Annual Meeting, Boston, MA, United States.

General rights


Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Adaptive RT for H&N cancer: The usefulness of deformable image registration

C. F. Behrens^{*1}, R. B. Eiland¹, D. Sjöström¹, C. Maare¹, R. R. Paulsen², and E. Samsøe¹
¹ Copenhagen University Hospital, Herlev, Denmark.
² Technical University of Denmark, Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark.
^{*}Corresponding author: clbe@heh.regionh.dk



PURPOSE/OBJECTIVES


To carry out geometric and dosimetric evaluation of adaptive H&N IMRT based on a deformable image registration algorithm.




MATERIALS/METHODS

- Planning CT: pCT
- Rescan CT: ReCT
- Cone beam CT: CBCT


pCT was deformed to match the CBCT resulting in deformed structures and deformed CT: dCT



7 H&N patients
IMRT



Deformable image registration
(Varian SmartAdapt v11)
Dose calculation: AAA
(Varian Eclipse)



Patient ID	Diagnosis	site	Dose [Gy]	Fractions	CBCT [Frac]	ReCT [Frac]
1	c. occultus	Sin	68	34	17	18
2	c. oropharyngis	Dxt	68	34	17	17
3	c. cavi oris	Sin	68	34	17	17
4	c. oropharyngis	Dxt	68	34	18	18
5	c. oropharyngis	Sin	66	33	29	29
6	c. oropharyngis	Sin	68	34	24	24
7	c. oropharyngis	Dxt	68	34	23	22

List of patients indicating at which fraction the CBCT and ReCT were acquired.

RESULTS

Geometric results
Relative volume differences between dCT and ReCT, CMS (Center of Mass shifts) and DSC (Dice Similarity Coefficient)

$$DSC = \frac{2(V_{ReCT} \cap V_{dCT})}{V_{ReCT} + V_{dCT}}$$

Structure (# of pt.)	Relative volume difference [%]	CMS [cm]	DSC [%]
presented as: median value (range)			
GTV-T(5)	1.9 (-9.5; 62.1)	0.25 (0.11; 0.73)	0.71 (0.59; 0.86)
CTV-T(5)	1.6 (-6.3; 8.9)	0.36 (0.14; 0.38)	0.86 (0.75; 0.93)
GTV-N dxt(4)	-15.7 (-66.7; 31.3)	0.19 (0.14; 0.35)	0.72 (0.53; 0.79)
CTV-N dxt(4)	6.2 (-16.8; 17.1)	0.15 (0.13; 0.20)	0.86 (0.85; 0.93)
GTV-N sin(4)	4.6 (-17.1; 50.0)	0.28 (0.12; 0.52)	0.64 (0.46; 0.76)
CTV-N sin(4)	7.6 (-18.5; 137.6)	0.40 (0.08; 0.57)	0.80 (0.55; 0.91)
Parotid dxt(7)	-12.1 (-28.2; 18.8)	0.30 (0.20; 0.50)	0.78 (0.71; 0.83)
Parotid sin(7)	-13.3 (-25.1; 18.5)	0.33 (0.18; 0.56)	0.76 (0.69; 0.79)
Spinal cord(7)	-24.4 (-30.6; 30.4)	0.45 (0.07; 1.0)	0.73 (0.62; 0.78)

Dosimetric results

Conformity measure	pCT [%]	dCT [%]	ReCT [%]
presented as: median value (range)			
CI (Conformity Index)	1.3 (1.0; 1.3)	1.3 (1.2; 1.4)	1.5 (1.1; 1.9)
LCF (Lesion Coverage Fraction)	1.0 (0.95; 1.0)	0.95 (0.94; 0.99)	0.96 (0.94; 1.0)
NTOF (Normal Tissue Overdosage Fraction)	0.19 (0.04; 0.20)	0.26 (0.14; 0.29)	0.32 (0.13; 0.49)

$$CI = \frac{V_{95}}{V_{PTV}} \quad LCF = \frac{V_{PTV \text{ and } 95}}{V_{PTV}} \quad NTOF = \frac{V_{95 \text{ sub } PTV}}{V_{95}}$$

V_{95} = Volume covered by the 95% isodose
 V_{PTV} = Volume of the PTV
 $V_{PTV \text{ and } 95}$ = $V_{95} \cap V_{PTV}$
 $V_{95 \text{ sub } PTV}$ = $V_{95} \setminus V_{PTV}$

CONCLUSIONS

Deformable image registration may be used as a tool for evaluating the need for replanning. However, deformed structures should, at this point, not replace manually delineated structures.

